

Athens Ill. March 9th 1863

Dear Jay

Your of March 2nd is at hand also of Feb. to myself & Dr Wasey. The draft for \$340 is recd. I trust I am properly grateful for your services in my behalf and hope to merit a continuance but I must not trouble you much now when you are busy. Of the Isoetes you write about to Dr Wasey & myself. I sent you letter to Dr V. Mr Durand had written to me for A & I sent him all I had & he writes me now that it is already on the way to France. Dr Engelman is also interested in it & writes for it for himself & the french monographer. Durand & Engelman both want the bulbs for the 'mad Frenchman' but I could not get them now if they was worth their weight in silver — out of the cold icy waters of the ponds — he wants them fresh to grow them in the botanical gardens in Europe. Engelman says the bulbs are as early kept as an onion. They believe it a new species. I shall get for all of them in paper season but not now — Your determinations of my separate Canices are — well confusion worse confounded I must trouble you again with them some day. but not now. Dr Boott also has made with the regulars a few bad mistakes. Mr Durand writes me that all is now made right with you & he has ordered you to draw on him

for \$56 & I have promised to amend the matter
with the Society some day by a contribution that
will be satisfactory. He seemed a little ill not
-red at first but is now quite good again I should
think. The *Ulex* you name Hallii I have
but one specimen of besides my own & Hooker shall
have it. It must be different as I now see it but
when collecting I took it for variety for which I am sorry
got too little. The grass 685 I have not exam-
-ined analytically but it looks to me like a *Conescent* long
armed var. of *Muhlenbergia glomerata*. may be not
hope it will be *Vareza*. The remains of Dr Pavy's
plants you may send me. I am sorry you did not
put all I sent for Supplement in the 12 sets I sent for
that purpose. I sent only 12 of a kind & intended for all
to be divided in to 12 parcels & just put on top of boxes for
duplicates to more fully satisfy purchasers.
If you can use them any way for your own
benefit I desire you to do so. if not you may
send them back. I can use Pavy in filling out
other sets. I will put for Schuttz as soon as
can a full set of the Compositae of Ills &
R. by Nts as I have & send you with Hooker's
You have yet 3 sets unsold would it be policy to send
you 3 or 4 more when I send Hooker's & Schuttz - something
will be saved in freight. If you have room for them
I think they will find purchasers I would prefer to do
it. what is your opinion of the prospects of further

Sales? I have made investment to absorb the sales
of about 20 sets that must be met this year. & if
that number of sets is not sold I must arrange in other
quarters for the deficiency & the earlier I can know the
the better for me. Draw on Mr Durand for one only
when you are ready - I wrote enquiring to Dr
Short 10 days ago - no answer yet. I fear there is some-
-thing wrong there. F. D. C. W. Short Louisville
Kentucky I suppose is right. Thanks for 10 copies of
sheets of notice I will send them out
You say you never could see yet why "you & Dr Pavy
fell out" well I will enlighten you some time
with a little history of the affair now that our business
relation is adjured you may not suspect me of a
motive for misrepresentation. but I assure you the
whole matter is very trivial unbecoming of men
particularly botanists. men are worth more natural
than artificial or these trying expeditions. & when
all conventionalisms are ignored as they usually are
on these occasions the real individual man is our
exhibition. & I suppose in this original State we
were oblivious to each other. as I fear too many
of our fallen race would be under like circumstances.
Dr Pavy is extraordinarily individual in his char-
-acter the most so in many respects by far of any
man I ever met. I think he must take instin-
-ctively to likes & dislikes. & I think we must have
impaired him unfavorably at first sight. might
not

Mr Terquemen has worked up the women
maker over 100 Sh but finds nothing new - a few new
to America he will retain a part for his
own museum the remainder he will
send to me when I have time I must put
them up & send to the patrons of the regular sets
as far as they will go

I suppose Dr Engelmann helps determine he writes
me among other things that 119 is *Artocystis debilis* but
121 is very different. 236 can scarcely be *Erigeron bellidifolius*
(no doubt about it) I think too the says 292 & 293 you have
probably confounded they look much alike & if 293 is common
(it is) then others have left it by the way side taking it for
292. 532 *Pinus edulis* 515 *Quercus Douglasii*
var *Neo-Mexicana*. 480 *Asclepias Nuttalliana*
493 *Polygonum polygaloides* not *cruciatum*
483 *Oxybaphus pilosus* - Prob. var of *Myctopneum*
de Geun

Respectfully

D Hall

P.S. I have just been giving grass 685 an examina-
tion. I find not *Stenobasis* at all

but I don't know what
perhaps a *Calamagrostis* the specimens are not mature I am looking
at, Stamens 2 not common in the north but noticed at several localities
flowers late